

ILIM HÁM JÁMIYET



FAN VA JAMIYAT

2022 (№2)

**ÓZBEKSTAN RESPUBLIKASI JOQARI HÁM ORTA
ARNAWLÍ BILIMLENDIRIW MINISTRILIGI**



**ÁJINIYAZ ATINDAGÍ NÓKIS MÁMLEKETLIK
PEDAGOGIKALÍQ INSTITUTI**



ILIM hám JÁMIYET

Ilmiy-metodikaliq jurnal

**Seriya: Tábiyy hám texnikaliq ilimler. Jámiyetlik hám ekonomikalq ilimler.
Filologiya ilimleri**

**Ajiniyoz nomidagi Nukus davlat
pedagogika instituti**

FAN va JAMIYAT

Ilmiy-uslubiy jurnal

Seriya: Tabiiy va texnika fanlari. Ijtimoiy va iqtisodiy fanlar. Filologiya fanlari

**Нукусский государственный педагогический
институт имени Ажинияза**

НАУКА и ОБЩЕСТВО

Научно-методический журнал

**Серия: Естественно-технические науки. Социальные и экономические
науки. Филологические науки**

**Nukus State Pedagogical Institute
named after Ajiniyaz**

SCIENCE and SOCIETY

Scientific-methodical journal

**Series: Natural-technical sciences. Social and economic sciences.
Philological sciences**

№2

2022

M A Z M U N Í

TÁBIYIY HÁM TEXNIKALÍQ ILIMLER

Fizika. Matematika. Texnika. Informatika

Алаудинов А.К., Султанова З.Ж. Локальные внутренние дифференцирования четырехмерных филиформных не лиевых алгебр Лейбница	3
Alauadinov A.K., Jumaeva Ch.I. Local inner derivations on three-dimensional lie algebras	5
Алишев А.Г., Алишев Ш.А. О построении асимптотических решений системы нелинейных дифференциальных уравнений с малым параметром при производных с переменным отклонением аргумента	8
Ametova D.M., Artikbaeva B.R. Hár qiyli antidetonaciya liq qosimshalardıń benzın hám onıń komponentleriniń shókpe payda etiw qasietine tásiri	11
Досымбетов А.М., Турдышов Д.Х., Жаксулыков Ш. Ер ости сувларини мониторинг қилишда нейро-катгиймас моделлаштириш	13
G'ulomov G., Majidova G.N., Muxitdinova F.R., Mamarizayeva S.O. Fotoelement volt-amper xarakteristikalariga elektromagnit to'liqinning ta'siri	15
Гулямов Г., Дадамрзаев М.Ф., Ўктамова М.К. Туннель диодларида ҳосил бўлувчи ортиқча токнинг турли моделлардаги кўриниши	17
Исаков Б.О. Теоретическое распределение концентрации галлия и сурьмы элементов легированного, диффузионным способом в кремнии	19
Kalxanov P.J., Orinbaev A.A. Borland C++ builder programmalastiriv tiliniń grafikaliq imkaniyatlarınan paydalanıw	20
Кудайбергенов К.К., Арзиев А.Д., Ганирберген А.К. Частично интегральные операторы	22
Махмудов М.Ж., Қаршиев М.Т. Бензин фракцияларининг изомеризацияланиш реакцияларини механизми ва термодинамикаси	25
Отемуратов Б.П., Джумабаев Д.Х., Кутлымуратов Б.Ж. Многомерная граничная теорема Морера в классической области первого типа	28
Султанов Е.К., Рамазанов Б.Н., Жумамуратова В.Б., Қарлыбаев А.М. Азықлылық цехлары ушын от-шөп майдалағышларды таңлау	31
Зикриллаев Н.Ф., Камалов А.Б., Турекеев Х.С. Оптические исследования кремния легированного фосфидом галлия	32
Шарибаев М.Б., Жалелов М.А., Камалов А.Б., Низаматдинова Г.Б., Байрамов О.Д. Изменение релаксационных процессов с воздействием радиации в квантово-размерных структурах	34

Biologiya

Палъанова Г.Ж. Ауыл хожалығының баслы зыянкеси - жалпақ тисли крыса (nesokiya indica gray) менен гүрес усуллары	36
--	----

Geografiya

Ешимбетов У.Х., Раджапов М.Я., Атамуратов И. Қишлоқ хўжалиги ривожланишининг минтақавий ўзига хос хусусиятлари	38
Жақсибаев Р.Н., Габбаров С.Н., Алеуов А.С. Геоахборот тизимлари ва масофадан зондлаш усуллари ёрдамида яйлов ерларни тадқиқ қилишнинг чет эл тажрибалари	41
Нурланов А.С. Қарақалпақстан шараятында инсан кәуипсизлигин тәмийнлеу машқалалары	43
Тўраев Қ.Т., Қурбонов Ш.Б. Конфессионал ва сакрал география – маданият географиясининг алоҳида йўналишлари сифатида	45

JÁMIYETLIK HÁM EKONOMIKALÍQ ILIMLER

Ekonomika

Ешимбетов У.Х., Искендеров А.Б., Турдыбекова З.М., Атамуратов И.А. Формирование территориальных минерально-сырьевых комплексов в Республике Каракалпақстан	48
Хожалепесов П.З., Калимбетова А. Роль социально-экономического роста малого бизнеса и частного предпринимательства Республики Узбекистан	49

Tariyx. Ruwqiyliq

Аннаева Н.Р. Ўзбекистон гендер тенглиги ва гендер ривожланишининг асосий кўрсаткичлари	51
Есназарова З.Б., Нәўбетуллаева Э., Утемисов А. Сайф уз – Зафар Навбахарийдың этикалық көзқараслары («Дурр ул-Мажолис» шығармасы мысалында)	53
Қодиров Қ.А. Ёшларда ғоявий иммунитетни шакллантириш - учинчи ренессанс даврининг асосий пойдеворидир	55
Пирматова Н. Муסיқали театрдаги мақомдон аёллар (XX асрнинг биринчи ярми)	57
Турдыев Б. Изучение в каракалпақской фольклористике исторических песен каракалпақского народа	58
Шоев И.Х. Проблемы управления туркестанским генерал-губернаторством в колониальной политике Российской империи в конце XIX – начале XX вв	61
Юлдашев А.А. Давлат бошқаруви ҳамда раҳбар кадрлар масаласининг тарихий назарий асослари	63

7. Ayupov Sh.A., Omirov B.A. On some classes of nilpotent Leibniz algebras // Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. (in Russian). 2001. V. 42 (1). – P. 18-29 (English translation in Siberian Math. J., 2001. V. 42(1). – P. 15-24.
8. Burde D., Dekimpe K., Verbeke B. Almost inner derivations of Lie algebras. Journal of Algebra and Its Applications, 17 (2018), no. –P. 11, 26.
9. Chen Z., Wang D. 2-Local automorphisms of finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras. // Linear Algebra and its Applications. 486 (2015), -P. 335–344.
10. Gordon C.S., Wilson E.N. Isospectral deformations of compact solvmanifolds. J. Differential Geom. 19 (1984), no. 1, -P. 214–256.
11. Jacobson N. Lie algebras, Inter science Publishers, Wiley, -New York: 1962.
12. Kadison R.V. Local derivations, J. Algebra, 130. 1990,-P. 494–509.
13. Kurbanbaev T.K. Local and 2-local derivations some semi simple Leibniz algebras, *Uzbek Mathematical Journal*, 2019, №3, pp.76-84, DOI: 10.29229/uzmj. 2019, -P.3-9.
14. Larson D.R., Sourour A.R. Local derivations and local automorphisms of B(X), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 51. 1990, -P. 187–194.
15. Molnár L. Locally inner derivations of standard operator algebras. (English). *Mathematica Bohemica*, vol. 121. 1996, issue 1, -P. 1-7.

РЕЗЮМЕ

Mazkur maqolada to‘rt o‘lchamli Li bo‘lmagan filiform Leybnits algebra larining ichki lokal differensiallashlari o‘rganilgan. $F^1(\alpha_4, \theta)$ algebrasining har bir lokal ichki differensiallashi ichki differensiallash ekanligi isbotlangan.

РЕЗЮМЕ

В статье изучены локальные внутренние дифференцирования четырехмерных филиформных не лиевых алгебр Лейбница. Доказано что, всякое локальное внутреннее дифференцирование алгебры $F^1(\alpha_4, \theta)$ кроме случая $\alpha_4 = \theta \neq 0$ является внутренним дифференцированием и в случае $\alpha_4 = \theta \neq 0$ на алгебре $F^1(\alpha_4, \theta)$ существует локальное внутреннее дифференцирование которое не является внутренним дифференцированием.

SUMMARY

In this article, local inner derivations are studied of four-dimensional filiform of non-Lie Leibniz algebras. It is proved that every local inner derivation of the algebra $F^1(\alpha_4, \theta)$ is an inner derivation.

LOCAL INNER DERIVATIONS ON THREE-DIMENSIONAL LIE ALGEBRAS

A.K.Alauadinov – doctor of Philosophy in Physical and Mathematical Sciences
Karakalpak state university name after Berdax

Ch.I.Jumaeva – master

V.I.Romanovskiy institute of Mathematics Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences

Tayanch so‘zlar: Li algebrasi, differensiallash, ichki differensiallash, lokal ichki differensiallash.

Ключевые слова: алгебра Ли, дифференциация, внутренняя дифференциация, локальная внутренняя дифференциация.

Key words: Lie algebra, derivation, inner derivation, local derivation, local inner derivation.

1. Introductions. Currently, various types of derivations over algebras are being studied, for example, derivations, local derivations, 2-local derivations, almost inner derivations, etc. Local derivations were first considered in the work of R. Kadison in 1990 [10] and, independently in the work of D. Larson and A. Surur [11]. In these papers, some conditions are indicated under which local derivations is derivations. R.Kadison's paper considered local derivations on von Neumann algebras and in some polynomial algebras. L.Molnár [12] introduced the definition of local inner derivations on standard operator algebras.

The first results concern to local and 2-local derivations and automorphisms on finite-dimensional Lie algebras over algebraically closed field of zero characteristic were obtained in [2, 3, 5] and [7]. Namely, in [5] it is proved that every 2-local derivation on a semi-simple Lie algebra L is a derivation and that each finite-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra with dimension larger than two admits 2-local derivation which is not a derivation. In [2] the authors have proved that every local derivation on a semi-simple Lie algebras is a derivation and gave examples of nilpotent finite-dimensional Lie algebras with local derivations which are not derivations. Concerning 2-local automorphism, Z.Chen and D.Wang in [7] prove that if L is a simple Lie algebra of type A_k, D_k or E_k , ($k = 6, 7, 8$) over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, then every 2-local automorphism of L is an automorphism. Finally, in [3] Sh.A.Ayupov and K.K.Kudaybergenov generalized this result of [7] and proved that every 2-local automorphism of a finite-dimensional semi-simple Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero is an automorphism. Moreover, they show also that every nilpotent Lie algebra with finite-dimension larger than two admits 2-local automorphisms which is not an automorphism. Local automorphisms of certain finite-dimensional simple Lie and

Leibniz algebras are investigated in [4]. Almost inner derivations of Lie algebras were introduced by C.S. Gordon and E.N. Wilson [8] in the study of isospectral deformations of compact manifolds. Almost inner derivations of nilpotent, some solvable Lie algebras and some nilpotent Leibniz algebras were studied in the papers by [6] and [1].

2. Preliminaries. To begin with, recall the definition of Lie algebras.

Definition 2.1. An algebra L over field \mathbb{F} is called a Lie algebra if its multiplication satisfies the identities:

- 1) $[x, x] = 0$,
- 2) $[x, [y, z]] + [y, [z, x]] + [z, [x, y]] = 0$,

for all x, y, z in L .

The product $[x, y]$ is called the bracket of x and y .

Identity 2) is called the Jacobi identity.

Let L be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra. For Lie algebra L we consider the following central and derived series:

$$L^1 = L, L^i = [L^{i-1}, L], i \geq 1,$$

$$L^{[1]} = L, L^{[k]} = [L^{[k-1]}, L^{[k-1]}], k \geq 1.$$

A Lie algebra L is nilpotent (solvable) if there exists $m \geq 1$ such that $L^m = 0$ ($L^{[m]} = 0$).

Definition 2.2. A derivation on a Lie algebra L is a linear map $D: L \rightarrow L$ which satisfies the Leibniz rule:

$$D([x, y]) = [D(x), y] + [x, D(y)] \tag{2.1}$$

for any $x, y \in L$. The set of all derivations of a Lie algebra denoted by $Der(L)$. Let a be an element of a Lie algebra L . Consider the operator of $ad_a : L \rightarrow L$ defined by $ad_a(x) = [x, a]$. This operator is a derivation and called *inner derivation*. The set of all inner derivations of a Lie algebra denoted by $InDer(L)$.

Definition 2.3. A linear operator Δ is called a *local derivation* if for any $x \in L$, there exists a derivation $D_x : L \rightarrow L$ (depending on x) such that $\Delta(x) = D_x(x)$.

Definition 2.4. A linear operator Δ is called a *local inner derivation* if for any $x \in L$, there exists a inner derivation $ad_x : L \rightarrow L$ (depending on x) such that $\Delta(x) = ad_x(x)$.

We present the following theorem which gives a classification of arbitrary three-dimensional Lie algebras.

Theorem 2.1. [9]. An arbitrary three-dimensional Lie algebra is isomorphic to one of the following algebras:

- L_0 : abelian;
- $L_1 : [e_1, e_2] = e_3$;
- $L_2 : [e_1, e_2] = e_1$;
- $L_3 : [e_1, e_2] = e_2, [e_1, e_3] = e_2 + e_3$;
- $L_4 : [e_1, e_2] = e_2, [e_1, e_3] = \lambda e_3, \lambda \in C^*, |\lambda| \leq 1$;
- $L_5 : [e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = -2e_1, [e_2, e_3] = 2e_2$.

3. Main results. In this section we will consider local inner derivations of three-dimensional Lie algebras.

The following theorem is the main result of this work.

Theorem 3.1. Any local inner derivation on three-dimensional Lie algebras is an inner derivation.

Proof. We will check whether the local inner derivations of these six algebras are inner derivations.

The algebra L_0 . First, consider the algebra L_0 from Theorem 2.1. Inner derivations on the algebra L_0 are zero. Therefore, any local inner derivation is also zero.

For the algebras L_1 and L_2 , we check the equality $\Delta(e_i) = ad_{e_i}(e_i)$ ($i=1,2,3$) for the basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$.

The algebra L_1 . For $x = \sum_{i=1}^3 x_i e_i \in L_1$ exists element

$a = \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i e_i \in L_1$ such that

$$ad_a(x) = [x, a] = [x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3, a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + a_3 e_3] = (x_1 a_2 - x_2 a_1) e_3;$$

Hence, matrix of the inner derivations of L_1 has the form

$$ad = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & -a_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.1}$$

the local inner derivation of algebra L_1 .

By definition of local inner derivation, checking the equality $\Delta(e_i) = ad_{e_i}(e_i)$ ($i=1,2,3$) for the basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$, we obtain the following:

- For e_1 : $\Delta(e_1) = a_{11}e_1 + a_{21}e_2 + a_{31}e_3$, $ad_{e_1}(e_1) = a_2^{(e_1)}e_3$.

Comparing the coefficients of the basic elements, we have

$$a_{11} = a_{21} = 0, a_{31} = a_2^{(e_1)};$$

- For e_2 : $\Delta(e_2) = a_{12}e_1 + a_{22}e_2 + a_{32}e_3$,

$ad_{e_2}(e_2) = -a_1^{(e_2)}e_3$. Then we get

$$a_{12} = 0, a_{22} = 0, a_{32} = -a_1^{(e_2)};$$

- For e_3 : $\Delta(e_3) = a_{13}e_1 + a_{23}e_2 + a_{33}e_3$;

$ad_{e_3}(e_3) = 0$. Then we obtain

$$a_{13} = a_{23} = a_{33} = 0.$$

Then (3.1) has the following form

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From the last obtained we have

$\Delta(e_1) = a_2^{(e_1)}e_3$, $\Delta(e_2) = -a_1^{(e_2)}e_3$. Since, operator Δ is linear, then

$$\Delta(x) = \Delta(x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3) = (a_2^{(e_1)} x_1 - a_1^{(e_2)} x_2) e_3.$$

This means that the operator Δ is an inner derivation.

The algebra L_2 . Repeating the previous technique for this algebra, we get that inner and local inner derivations have the following forms respectively

$$ad = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & -a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This means that the operator Δ is an inner derivation.

Note that in this algebra, every local inner derivation is an inner derivation.

For the algebras L_3 and L_4 , we check the equality of $\Delta(x) = ad_x(x)$ for values of x equal to e_1, e_2, e_3 and $e_2 + e_3$

The algebra L_3 . Let $x = \sum_{i=1}^3 x_i e_i \in L_3$. Then for element

$x \in L_3$ exists element $a = \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i e_i \in L_3$ such that

$$ad_a(x) = [x, a] = [x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3, a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + a_3 e_3] = (x_1 a_2 + x_1 a_3 - x_2 a_1 - x_3 a_1) e_2 + (x_1 a_3 - x_3 a_1) e_3.$$

Hence, matrix of the inner derivations of L_3 has the next form

$$ad = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 + a_3 & -a_1 & -a_1 \\ a_3 & 0 & -a_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}.$$

the local inner derivation of the algebra L_3 .

Checking the equality of $\Delta(x) = ad_x(x)$ for values of x equal to e_1, e_2, e_3 and $e_2 + e_3$, we obtain the following:

- For e_1 : $\Delta(e_1) = a_{11}e_1 + a_{21}e_2 + a_{31}e_3$,
 $ad_{e_1}(e_1) = (a_2^{(e_1)} + a_3^{(e_1)})e_2 + a_3^{(e_1)}e_3$, and so
 $a_{11} = 0, a_{21} = a_2^{(e_1)} + a_3^{(e_1)}, a_{31} = a_3^{(e_1)}$.

- For e_2 : $\Delta(e_2) = a_{12}e_1 + a_{22}e_2 + a_{32}e_3$,
 $ad_{e_2}(e_2) = -a_1^{(e_2)}e_2$. Then we get
 $a_{12} = 0, a_{22} = -a_1^{(e_2)}, a_{32} = 0$.

- For e_3 : $\Delta(e_3) = a_{13}e_1 + a_{23}e_2 + a_{33}e_3$,
 $ad_{e_3}(e_3) = -a_1^{(e_3)}e_2 - a_1^{(e_3)}e_3$. Then we obtain
 $a_{13} = 0, a_{23} = a_{33} = -a_1^{(e_3)}$.

- For $e_2 + e_3$: $\Delta(e_2 + e_3) = (a_{22} + a_{23})e_2 + a_{23}e_3$,
 $ad_{e_2+e_3}(e_2 + e_3) = -2a_1^{(e_2+e_3)}e_2 - a_1^{(e_2+e_3)}e_3$ and
 $a_{22} = a_{23}$.

Then local inner derivation of the algebra L_3 has the following form

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{22} \\ a_{31} & 0 & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

This means that the operator Δ is an inner derivation.

The algebra L_4 . As in the case of algebra L_3 , it is shown that

$$ad = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & -a_1 & 0 \\ \lambda a_3 & 0 & -\lambda a_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 \\ \lambda a_3^{(e_1)} & 0 & \lambda a_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This means that the operator Δ is an inner derivation.

The algebra L_5 . Let $x = \sum_{i=1}^3 x_i e_i \in L_5$. Then for element $x \in L_5$ exists element $a = \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i e_i \in L_5$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} ad_a(x) &= [x, a] = [x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3, a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + a_3 e_3] = \\ &= (2x_3 a_1 - 2x_1 a_3) e_1 + \\ &+ (2x_2 a_3 - 2x_3 a_2) e_2 + (x_1 a_2 - x_2 a_1) e_3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, matrix of the inner derivations of L_5 has the form

$$ad = \begin{pmatrix} -2a_3 & 0 & 2a_1 \\ 0 & 2a_3 & -2a_2 \\ a_2 & -a_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}.$$

the local inner derivation of algebra L_5 .

Checking the equality of $\Delta(x) = ad_x(x)$ for values of x equal to $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_1 + e_2, e_1 + e_3$ and $e_2 + e_3$, we obtain the following:

- For e_1 : $\Delta(e_1) = a_{11}e_1 + a_{21}e_2 + a_{31}e_3$,
 $ad_{e_1}(e_1) = -2a_3^{(e_1)}e_1 + a_2^{(e_1)}e_3$. Comparing the coefficients of the element e_2 , we have

$$a_{21} = 0.$$

- For e_2 : $\Delta(e_2) = a_{12}e_1 + a_{22}e_2 + a_{32}e_3$,
 $ad_{e_2}(e_2) = 2a_3^{(e_2)}e_2 - a_1^{(e_2)}e_3$. Comparing the coefficients of the element e_1 , we have

$$a_{12} = 0.$$

- For e_3 : $\Delta(e_3) = a_{13}e_1 + a_{23}e_2 + a_{33}e_3$,
 $ad_{e_3}(e_3) = 2a_1^{(e_3)}e_1 - 2a_2^{(e_3)}e_2$. Comparing the coefficients of the element e_3 , we have

$$a_{33} = 0.$$

- For $e_1 + e_2$: $\Delta(e_1 + e_2) = a_{11}e_1 + a_{22}e_2 + (a_{31} + a_{32})e_3$,
 $ad_{e_1+e_2}(e_1 + e_2) = -2a_3^{(e_1+e_2)}e_1 +$
 $+ 2a_3^{(e_1+e_2)}e_2 + (a_2^{(e_1+e_2)} - a_1^{(e_1+e_2)})e_3$. Comparing the coefficients of the elements e_1 and e_2 , we have

$$a_{11} = -2a_3, a_{22} = 2a_3 \text{ i.e. } a_{22} = -a_{11}.$$

- For $e_1 + e_3$: $\Delta(e_1 + e_3) = (a_{11} + a_{13})e_1 + a_{23}e_2 + a_{31}e_3$,
 $ad_{e_1+e_3}(e_1 + e_3) = (2a_1^{(e_1+e_3)} - 2a_3^{(e_1+e_3)})e_1 - 2a_2^{(e_1+e_3)}e_2 + a_2^{(e_1+e_3)}e_3$.

Comparing the coefficients of the elements e_2 and e_3 , we have

$$a_{23} = -2a_2, a_{31} = a_2 \text{ i.e. } a_{23} = -2a_{31}.$$

- For $e_2 + e_3$:
 $\Delta(e_2 + e_3) = a_{13}e_1 + (-a_{11} + a_{23})e_2 + a_{32}e_3$,
 $ad_{e_2+e_3}(e_2 + e_3) = 2a_1^{(e_2+e_3)}e_1 +$
 $+ (2a_3^{(e_2+e_3)} - 2a_2^{(e_2+e_3)})e_2 - a_1^{(e_2+e_3)}e_3$. Comparing the coefficients of the elements e_1 and e_3 , we have

$$a_{13} = 2a_1, a_{32} = -a_1 \text{ i.e. } a_{13} = -2a_{32}.$$

Then local inner derivation of algebra L_5 has the following form

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & -2a_{32} \\ 0 & -a_{11} & -2a_{31} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This means that the operator Δ is an inner derivation.

References

1. Adashev J.K., Kurbanbaev T.K. Almost Inner Derivations of some Nilpotent Leibniz Algebras, Journal of Siberian Federal University. Mathematics & Physics 2020, 13(6), -P. 1–13.
2. Ayupov Sh.A., Kudaybergenov K.K. Local derivations on finite-dimensional Lie algebras // Linear Algebra and its Applications. 493 (2016), -P. 381–398.
3. Ayupov Sh.A., Kudaybergenov K.K. 2-Local automorphisms on finite-dimensional Lie algebras. // Linear Algebra and its Applications. 507, 2016, -P. 121–131.
4. Ayupov Sh.A., Kudaybergenov K.K. Local Automorphisms on Finite-Dimensional Lie and Leibniz Algebras // Algebra, Complex Analysis and Pluripotential Theory, USUZCAMP 2017. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics. 264, 2017, -P. 31–44.
5. Ayupov Sh.A., Kudaybergenov K.K., Rakhimov I.S. 2-Local derivations on finite-dimensional Lie algebras // Linear Algebra and its Applications. 474, 2015, -P. 1–11.
6. Burde D., Dekimpe K., Verbeke B. Almost inner derivations of Lie algebras. Journal of Algebra and Its Applications, 17, 2018, no. -P.11, 26.
7. Chen Z., Wang D. 2-Local automorphisms of finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras // Linear Algebra and its Applications. 486 (2015), -P. 335–344.
8. Gordon C.S., Wilson E.N. Isospectral deformations of compact solvmanifolds. J. Differential Geom. 19 (1984), no. 1, 214–256.
9. Jacobson N. Lie algebras, Interscience Publishers, Wiley, -New York: 1962 .
10. Kadison R.V. Local derivations, J. Algebra, 130. 1990, -P. 494–509.
11. Larson D.R., Sourour A.R. Local derivations and local automorphisms of $B(X)$, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 51. 1990, -P. 187–194.
12. Molnár L. Locally inner derivations of standard operator algebras. (English). Mathematica Bohemica, vol. 121. 1996, issue 1, -P. 1-7.

REZYUME

Ushbu maqolada biz uch o'lovli Li algebra larining mahalliy ichki hosilalarini o'rganamiz. Biz uch o'lovli Li algebra larining har qanday mahalliy ichki hosilasi ichki hosila ekanligini ko'rsatamiz.

РЕЗЮМЕ

В этой статье мы исследуем локальные внутренние дифференцирования трехмерных алгебр Ли. Мы показываем, что любое локальное внутреннее дифференцирование трехмерных алгебр Ли является внутренним дифференцированием.

SUMMARY

In this paper we investigate local inner derivations of three-dimensional Lie algebras. We show that any local inner derivation of three-dimensional Lie algebras is an inner derivation.

УДК 517.91/943

**О ПОСТРОЕНИИ АСИМПТОТИЧЕСКИХ РЕШЕНИЙ СИСТЕМЫ НЕЛИНЕЙНЫХ
ДИФФЕРЕНЦИАЛЬНЫХ УРАВНЕНИЙ С МАЛЫМ ПАРАМЕТРОМ ПРИ ПРОИЗВОДНЫХ
С ПЕРЕМЕННЫМ ОТКЛОНЕНИЕМ АРГУМЕНТА**

А.Г.Алишев – кандидат физико-математических наук

Джизакский государственный педагогический институт

Ш.А.Алишев – преподаватель

Джизакский политехнический институт

Таянч сўзлар: вектор, Тейлор, тенглама, коэффициент, иллиз, асимптотика, дифференциал, тизим, расмий, парчаланиш, кетма-кетлик, секин ўзгарувчан.

Ключевые слова: вектор, Тейлор, уравнения, коэффициент, корень, асимптотика, дифференциал, система, формальный, разложения, ряд, медленно меняющийся.

Key words: vector, Taylor, equation, coefficient, root, asymptotics, differential, system, formal, expansion, series, slowly changing.

Рассмотрим систему

$$\varepsilon \frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} = A(\tau, \varepsilon)x + \varepsilon B(\tau, \varepsilon) \frac{d^2 x(t - \Delta(\tau), \varepsilon)}{dt^2} + \varepsilon f(\tau, x, \varepsilon) \quad (1)$$

где $x(t, \varepsilon)$, f – n -мерные векторы, $A(\tau, \varepsilon)$, $B(\tau, \varepsilon)$ – действительные $(n \times n)$ матрицы. $\Delta(\tau) \geq 0$, переменные отклонения, $0 \leq \tau = \varepsilon t \leq L < +\infty$ – медленное время, $\varepsilon > 0$ малые параметры.

В [1] рассмотрены асимптотические разложения решений системы линейных дифференциальных уравнений первого порядка нейтрального типа, когда характеристическое уравнение имеет отличная от нуля корня.

В настоящей работе рассматривается вопрос построения решения системы (1) при наличии нулевого корня уравнения (3), т.е. так называемый критический случай [2,3]. Этот случай для системы вида (1) в литературе не рассматривалась.

Теорема 1. Пусть выполняется условия:

а) матрицы $A_s(\tau)$, $B_s(\tau)$ ($s = 0, 1, \dots$) и функция $\Delta(\tau) > 0$ при $\tau \in [0, L]$, а вектор $f(\tau, x, \varepsilon)$ в области

$P(\tau, x, \varepsilon) = P(\tau, x) \times (0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0]$, где $P(\tau, x)$ – некоторая область пространство переменных (τ, x) , неограниченно дифференцируемы;

б) при $\tau \in [0, L]$, $w_1(\tau) = 0$, $\operatorname{Re} w_q(\tau) \leq 0$ $q = \overline{2, n}$;

в) $(\psi, A_1(\tau)\varphi) \neq 0$, $(\psi, A_1(\tau)\varphi) \neq (\psi, f_x(\tau)\varphi)$, $\forall \tau \in [0, L]$ (4)

где $\varphi \in N(A_0(\tau))$, $\psi \in N(A_0^*(\tau)) - A_0^*(\tau)$ – комплексно сопряжённая матрица к матрице $A_0(\tau)$ (см[3,4]). Тогда уравнение (1) имеет формальное частное решение вида

$$x(t, \varepsilon) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \mu^s u_s(\tau), \mu = \sqrt{\varepsilon}. \quad (5)$$

Теорема 2. Пусть выполняется условие а теоремы 1, а также матрица $A_0(\tau)$ имеет полупростое нулевое собственное значение кратности $p < n$ (при $p = n$ матрица $A_0(\tau) \equiv 0$). Тогда система (1) имеет решение вида (5).

Теорема 3. Если выполняется условие а) теоремы 1, и характеристическое уравнение (3) системы (1) имеет один нулевой корень кратности p , с корневым подпро-